	ase 2002/06/13 : CIA-R ENGINEERING		'n		DPI
Lockheed Aircraft Corp.	CHANGE PRO	POSAL X			- 6 co
DATE 3-19-59	AFFECTS:	WSPO	X	PROJ	JECT X
NAME OF MAJOR COMPONENT	PART OR LOWEST SU	BASSEMBLY	· · ·	ART NO. &	
Airplane	Drag Chute Hook	Assy.	<u></u>	F 180-121	
TITLE OF PROPOSAL :	Drag Chute Hook Me	ech.			
worn rockers. F-180-137 P. Lever Assy. will be replace are added. A drill templa: Replacement F-475-2 Spring: Roller Assem., F-180-123 P. worn parts. F-706-6L & R REASON FOR PROPOSAL: The punreliable in service due	ed by new modified te will be supplied s will be supplied in and F-180-12h Pi Plates are included resent automatic co	assy's. To defer the for the for the for the formal in parts will do not a formal for the formal formal for the formal formal formal for the formal f	revel s orward ent F-1 11 be s the F-	tops for stop loca 80-122 Ca upplied t 180-124 & (Cont. on hock assy	the leve tion. tch,F=18 o replace = -125 Pi - Page 2)
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also req	s simplified version	ock the sys	tem by	eliminati	ng the
Laughlin AFB has proposed	a simplified version in the control of the control	ock the syst	tem by FB prop	eliminati posal. Al 7-180-126	ng the so included to
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also req F-180-164 Cam. This chang in the LAFB proposal and it less sensitive on tripp	a simplified version in this proposal is the ing action.	ock the sys d on the LAI re-design o	tem by FB prot f the I	eliminati cosal. Al ?-180-126 (Cont. o	ng the so inclucated to he had a so include to he had a so include the head of the head a so include the head
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also req F-180-164 Cam. This chang in the LAFB proposal and it less sensitive on tripp ESTIMATED COST AND TIME	a simplified version in this proposal is the ing action.	ock the sys d on the LAI re-design o	tem by FB prot f the I	eliminati posal. Al 7-180-126 (Cont. o	ng the so inclucated to he had a so include to he had a so include the head of the head a so include the head
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also req F-180-164 Cam. This chang in the LAFB proposal and it less sensitive on tripp ES ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING REC	a simplified version in this proposal is based in this proposal is ing action. E INVOLVED: \$1,31,5.00 QUIRED: None (SP-19)	ock the sys d on the LA re-design o	tem by FB prot f the I	eliminati cosal. Al 2-180-126 (Cent. o 2918 Custo See P	ng the so include the catch to page 3.
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also req F-180-164 Cam. This chang in the LAFB proposal and it less sensitive on tripp ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING RECORD	a simplified version in the proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in ing action. E INVOLVED: \$4345.00 OR PARTS: \$475.00	ock the sys d on the LA re-design o	tem by FB prot f the I	eliminati cosal. Al 2-180-126 (Cent. o 2918 Custo See P	ng the so include the catch to n Page 2 mer No.
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also required for the LAFB proposal and it it less sensitive on tripp ES ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING REGULATIONAL FUN	a simplified version in the proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in ing action. E INVOLVED: \$4345.00 OR PARTS: \$475.00	ock the sys d on the LA re-design o	tem by FB prot f the I	eliminati cosal. Al 2-180-126 (Cent. o 2918 Custo See P	ng the so inch Catch to on Page : mer No.
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also req F-180-164 Cam. This chang in the LAFB proposal and it less sensitive on tripp ES ESTIMATED COST AND TIME ADDITIONAL FUNDING REGISTIONAL FUNDING REGISTIO	a simplified version in the proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in ing action. E INVOLVED: \$4345.00 OR PARTS: \$475.00	ock the sys d on the LA re-design o	tem by FB prot f the I	eliminati cosal. Al F-180-126 (Cont. o .918 Custo See P	ng the so include the catch to
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also required for the LAFB proposal and in the LAFB	a simplified version in the proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in ing action. E INVOLVED: \$4345.00 OR PARTS: \$475.00	ock the sys d on the LAI re-design of 00 charged 018) ea. 017)	tem by FB prot f the I	eliminati posal. Al 7-180-126 (Cont. o 918 Custo See P	ng the so include the catch to page 3.
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also required for the LAFB proposal and it it less sensitive on tripped about the LAFB proposal and it it less sensitive on tripped about the LAFB proposal and it it less sensitive on tripped about the LAFB proposal and it less sensiti	a simplified version wires two men to consider	ock the sys d on the LAI re-design of 00 charged 018) ea. 017)	tem by FB prot f the I to SP-)	eliminati posal. Al 7-180-126 (Cont. o 918 Custo See P	ng the so include the catch to
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also required for the LAFB proposal and in the LAFB	a simplified version weight. a simplified version weight. a proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in this proposal is based in this propos	ock the sys d on the LA re-design of cockerged (18) (17) (17) (18) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19	tem by FB prof f the I to SP-) MAINTE- NANCE PROCEDURE	eliminati posal. Al 7-180-126 (Cont. o 918 Custo See P	ng the so include the catch to
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also required for the LAFB proposal and it it less sensitive on tripped additional funding required for the LAFB proposal and it it less sensitive on tripped additional funding required for the LAFB proposal and it is sensitive on tripped additional funding required for the LAFB proposal for the LAFB propos	a simplified version wires two men to construct two men to construct the proposal is based in this proposal in this proposal in this proposal in this proposal in the proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in the proposal in the proposal in the proposal is based in this proposal in this proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in the proposal in this propos	ock the sys d on the LA re-design of cockerged (18) (17) (17) (18) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19	tem by FB prot f the I to SP- MAINTE- NANCE PROCEDURE X	eliminati posal. Al P-180-126 (Cont. o 918 Custo See P See P	ng the so include the catch to have have have have have have have have
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also required for the LAFB proposal and in the LAFB	a simplified version wires two men to construct two men to construct the proposal is based in this proposal in this proposal in this proposal in this proposal in the proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in the proposal in the proposal in the proposal is based in this proposal in this proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in the proposal in this propos	ock the sys d on the LAI re-design of charged (18) ea. (217) or tools a support a guipment	tem by FB prot f the I to SP- MAINTE- NANCE PROCEDURE X	eliminati posal. Al P-180-126 (Cont. o 918 Custo See P See P	ng the so include the catch to have have have have have have have have
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also required for the LAFB proposal and it is sensitive on tripposal and it is sensitive on tripposal and it less sensitive on tripposal and it less sensitive on tripposal for the LAFB proposal and it is sensitive on tripposal for the LAFB proposal for the LAFB pr	a simplified version wires two men to complete	ock the sys d on the LAI re-design of charged (18) ea. (217) or tools a support a guipment	tem by FB prot f the I to SP- MAINTE- NANCE PROCEDURE X	eliminati posal. Al P-180-126 (Cont. o 918 Custo See P See P	ng the so include the catch to
Laughlin AFB has proposed above problem but also required for the LAFB proposal and in the LAFB proposal for the LAFB proposal for the LAFB proposal and in the LAFB proposal for the LAFB proposal for the LAFB proposal and in the LAFB proposal for the LAFB proposal and in the	a simplified version wires two men to come or proposal is based in this proposal is based in this proposal in this proposal in this proposal is based in this proposal in this proposal in this proposal is based in this proposal in this proposal is based in thi	ock the sys d on the LAI re-design of charged (18) ea. (217) or tools a support a guipment	tem by FB prot f the I to SP- MAINTE- NANCE PROCEDURE X	eliminati posal. Al P-180-126 (Cont. o 918 Custo See P See P	ng the so include the catch to have have have have have have have have

Nature of Proposal: (cont.)

The Hook Assy. mod. required the F-180-122 Catch be spring loaded closed. F-706-4 Clip, F-706-9 Spring, F-706-5 Socket Bolt, NAS322-13-0063 Cable Assy., MIB Aluminum Pulley are required for this mod.

The warning flag is shown on F-711 drawing, consists of a spring loaded shaft with a lever on one end riding on the rocker cross arm and a red and white colored flag on the other end inside the tail fairing.

This flag is visible thru a .75 dia. hole in the fairing. The color showing indicates the rigged condition of the hook. White indicates hook unlocked and "OK". Red indicates the hook locked and chute un-jettisonable. This is to be used on a pre-flight walkaround inspection.

Adjustable stops will be installed approximately 1.0 inch each side of the fuselage center line. The stops will be fastened to the structure under the fiberglas chute pan. These stops will maintain the drag chute door position without depending on the door skin trim to maintain position.

Preliminary copies of drawings F-706 and F-711 are attached.

Reason for Proposal: (cont.)

Once the chute is installed and the doors closed there is no means of checking the mechanism to make sure the chute catch is locked or unlocked before takeoff. Incorporation of the simplified mechanism provides space to install a warning flag similar to the one on the lower equipment bay hatch. This flag which is visible thru a.75 dia. hole in the tail fairing indicates whether the catch has tripped and the F-180-111 Rocker Assembly is locking the chute hook.

The simplified drag chute mechanism was installed on airplane No. 358 at EAFB. Twelve landing and three ground engine running tests have been made to date. The chute has deployed and jettisoned properly in all tests.

To improve the drag chute door fit and thus minimize the possibility of the chute being deployed in flight, stops should be installed against the forward surface of the door under the fiberglas chute pan.

This change will be incorporated on 8.B. #375.

This change proposal covers U.R. 58-730 dated 6 June'58 and U.R. 59-37 dated 30 Jan.'59

4

ESTIMATED COST INFORMATION

E.S. - DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPE COST ESTIMATE

The original prototype parts were installed in #358 and flown at EAFB concurrently with other programs. A final prototype installation including "flag-waver" in tail fairing will be installed in another airplane for evaluation. Only flight test effort required is for actual installation of parts. All development costs are being charged to SP-1918 for Customer No. 2.

Design \$2,376
Shop (Fabrication) 754
Flight Test (Installation) 1,215

C.P. - KIT COST ESTIMATE

Customer No. 1 (This change not required with J-75 engine installation)

Possible 9 kits x \$475 es. = \$4,275

Customer No. 2

29 kits x \$475 ea. =

\$13,775